

## Bibliography of Conductive Arguments

Frank Zenker and Tom Fischer

frank.zenker@fil.lu.se, FischerT@uhd.edu

Version 1.2., July 27<sup>th</sup>, 2010

The following entries were collected from participants to the *Symposium on Conductive Arguments* in April/May 2010, organized by the Center for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric (CRRAR) at the University of Windsor, Ontario.

When starting this bibliography, we intended to distinguish this theme from that of defeasible reasoning. Meanwhile, we are less sure this is possible. To honor his work, we eventually included papers by the recently deceased John Pollock.

The authors are grateful for suggestions and, upon request, gladly share a BibTEX (\*.bib) file for import into EndNote or similar.

Adler, J. E. (1992). Even Arguments, Explanatory Gaps and Pragmatic Scales. *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 25, 22-44.

Allen, D. (1990). Trudy Govier's Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation. *Informal Logic*, 43-62.

Allen, D. (1993). Relevance, conduction and Canada's rape-shield decision. *Informal Logic*, 15, 105-122.

Allen, M., Hale, J., Mongeau, P., Berkowitz-Stafford, S., Stafford, S., Shanahan, W., et al. (1990). Testing A Model Of Message Sidedness: Three Replications. *Communication Monographs*, 57, 275-291.

Angell, R. B. (1964). *Reasoning and Logic*. New York: Appleton.

Aqvist, L. (2007). An interpretation of probability in the law of evidence based on pro-et-contra argumentation. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 391-410.

Arrow, K. (1950). A Difficulty in the Concept of Social Welfare. *Journal of Political Economy*, 58, 328-346.

Atkinson, K., Bench-Capon, T., & McBurney, P. (2006). Computational Representation of Practical Argument. *Synthese*, 152, 157-206.

Baier, K. (1965). *The Moral Point of View*. New York: Random House.

Ball, W. (1995). A Pragmatic Framework for the Evaluation of Policy Arguments. *Review of Policy Research*, 3-24.

- Bickenbach, J., & Davies, J. (1998). Conductive Reasoning. In *Good Reasons for better arguments. An Introduction to the skills and values of critical thinking* (pp. 321-326). Peterborough, ON: Broadview Press.
- Blair, J. A. (2010). Conductive Arguments. *Manuscript read at the April 2010 CRRAR Symposium on Conductive Argument, Windsor, ON.*
- Eisend, M. (2006). Two-sided advertising: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Research in Marketing, 23*, 187-198.
- Eisend, M. (2007). Understanding two-sided persuasion: An empirical assessment of theoretical approaches. *Psychology and Marketing, 24*, 615-640.
- Etgar, M., & Goodwin, S. A. (1982). One-sided versus two-sided comparative message appeals for new brand introductions. *Journal of Consumer Research, 460-465.*
- Feteris, E. (2008). Weighing and Balancing in the Justification of Judicial Decisions. *Informal Logic, 28*, 20-30.
- Freeman, J. B. (2001). Argument Structure and Disciplinary Perspective. *Argumentation, 15*, 397-423.
- Friedman, M., May, L., Parsons, K., & Stiff, J. (2000). *Rights and Reason: Essays in Honor of Carl Wellman*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis: An essay on the organization of experience*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Govier, T. (1980). Challenge and Response by Carl Wellman. *Informal Logic Newsletter, 2(2)*, 10-15.
- Govier, T. (1987). Beyond Induction and Deduction. In F. H. Eemeren, *Argumentation: Across the lines of discipline. Proceedings of the conference on argumentation 1986* (pp. 56-64). Dordrecht: Foris.
- Govier, T. (1987). Two Unreceived Views about Reasoning and Argument. In *Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation* (pp. 55-80). Dordrecht: Foris.
- Govier, T. (1999). Reasoning with Pros and Cons: Conductive Arguments Revisited. In *The Philosophy of Argument* (p. ch. 10). Newport News, VA: Vale Press.
- Govier, T. (2010). Conductive Arguments and Counterconsiderations. In *A Practical Study of Argument* (pp. 352-375). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Hansen, H. (2010). *The Structure of Balance of Consideration Arguments*. Paper presented at the American Philosophical Association.

- Hitchcock, D. (1981). Deduction, Induction and Conduction. *Informal Logic Newsletter*, 3(2), 7-15.
- Hitchcock, D. (1994). Validity in Conductive Arguments. In R. Johnson & J. Blair, *New Essays in Informal Logic* (pp. 58-66). Windsor, ON: Informal Logic.
- Hitchcock, D. (2002). Pollock on Practical Reasoning. *Informal Logic*, 22, 247-256.
- Hitchcock, D. (2007). Informal Logic and The Concept of Argument. In D. Jacquette, *Philosophy Of Logic* (pp. 101-129). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Hitchcock, D. L., & Verheij, B. (2006). *Arguing on the Toulmin Model. New Essays in Argument Analysis and Evaluation*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Insko, C. (1962). One-sided versus two-sided communications and countercommunications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 65, 203-206.
- Jacquette, D. (2007). Two Sides of Any Issue. *Argumentation*, 21, 115-127.
- Johnson, R. H. (2000). Various Sections. In *Manifest Rationality: A Pragmatic Theory of Argument* (pp. 69-84, 93, 233, 315). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Jones, R., & Brehm, J. (1970). Persuasiveness of one- and two-sided communications as a function of awareness there are two sides. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 6, 47-56.
- Jonsen, A. R., & Toulmin, S. E. (1988). *The Abuse of Casuistry*. Berkeley: The University of California Press.
- Kamins, M., & Assael, H. (1987). Two-sided versus one-sided appeals: A cognitive perspective on argumentation, source derogation, and the effect of disconfirming trial on belief change. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 24, 29-39.
- Kenny, A. (1966). Practical Inference. *Analysis*, 26, 65-75.
- Koballa, T. R., J. (1984). Changing attitudes toward energy conservation: The effect of development advancement on the salience of one-sided and two-sided persuasive communications. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 21, 659-668.
- Kock, C. (2007). Dialectical Obligations in Political Debate. *Informal Logic*, 27, 233-247.
- Kock, C. (2007). Is Practical Reasoning Presumptive? *Informal Logic*, 27, 91-108.
- Kock, C. (2007). Norms of Legitimate Dissensus. *Informal Logic*, 27, 179-196.

- Kock, C. (2009). Arguing for Different Types of Speech Acts. In J. Ritola, *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, 2009* (p. CD ROM). Windsor, ON: University of Windsor.
- Naess, A. (2005). Surveys of Arguments for and Against a Standpoint. In H. E. Glasser, *The Selected Works of Arne Naess (Vol.7, Communication and Argument)* (pp. 75-95). Dordrecht: Springer.
- O'Keefe, D. (1999). How to handle opposing arguments in persuasive messages: A meta-analytic review of the effects of one-sided and two-sided messages. *Communication Yearbook, 22*, 209-249.
- Pinto, R. (2009). Argumentation and the force of reasons. *Informal Logic, 29*, 263-297.
- Pollock, J. (2002). Defeasible reasoning with variable degrees of justification. *Artificial Intelligence, 133*, 233-282.
- Pollock, J. (2008). Defeasible reasoning. In L. J. Rips, J. E. Adler, *Reasoning: Studies in Human Inference and its Foundations* (pp. 451-470). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pollock, J. L. (2010). Defeasible Reasoning and Degree of Justification. *Argument and Computation, 1*, 7-22.
- Ross, W. (1930). *The right and the good. The Right and the Good*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Scriven, M. (1981). The "Weight and Sum" Methodology. *American Journal of Evaluation, 2*, 85-90.
- Snoek-Henkemans, A. (2001). Argumentation Structures. In F. V. Eemeren, *Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory* (pp. 101-134). Amsterdam: SicSat.
- Spangler, G. (1987). Review of Govier's Practical Study of Argument. *Informal Logic, 9*, 155-60.
- Tyaglo, A. (2002). How to Improve the Convergent Argument Calculation. *Informal Logic, 22*, 61-71.
- Wellman, C. (1971). *Challenge and Response: Justification in Ethics*. Carnondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Wellman, C. (1988). *Morals and Ethics* (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Wohlrapp, H. (1997). Some Remarks on Non-Deductive Argument. In J. F. Klumpp, *Argument in a time of Change: Proceedings of the Tenth NCA/AFA Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 24-30). Alta, Utah: National Communication Association.

Wohlrapp, H. (1998). A New Light on Non-Deductive Argumentation. *Argumentation*, 12, 341-350.

Wohlrapp, H. (2008). The Pro- and Contra-Discussion (A Critique of Trudy Govier's 'Conductive Argument'). In *Der Begriff des Arguments* (pp. 316-334). Wuerzburg: Koenigshausen and Neumann.

Zenker, F. (2008). Translation of Wohlrapp (2008), ch. 6.4. Retrieved from [www.frankzenker.de/academia.html](http://www.frankzenker.de/academia.html).

Zenker, F. (2009). Complexity without Insight. Ceteris Paribus Clauses in Conductive Argumentation. In S. Jacobs, *Concerning Argument. Proceedings of the 2007 NCA/AFA Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah* (pp. 810-818). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.

(Adler, 1992; Allen, 1990; Allen, 1993; Allen et al., 1990; Angell, 1964; Aqvist, 2007; Arrow, 1950; Atkinson, Bench-Capon, & McBurney, 2006; Baier, 1965; Ball, 1995; Bickenbach & Davies, 1998; Blair, 2010; Eisend, 2006; Eisend, 2007; Etgar & Goodwin, 1982; Feteris, 2008; Freeman, 2001; Friedman, May, Parsons, & Stiff, 2000; Goffman, 1974; Govier, 1980; Govier, 1987; Govier, 1987; Govier, 1999; Govier, 2010; Hansen, 2010; Hitchcock, 1981; Hitchcock, 1994; Hitchcock, 2002; Hitchcock, 2007; Hitchcock & Verheij, 2006; Insko, 1962; Jacquette, 2007; Johnson, 2000; Jones & Brehm, 1970; Jonsen & Toulmin, 1988; Kamins & Assael, 1987; Kenny, 1966; Koballa, T. R., 1984; Kock, 2007; Kock, 2007; Kock, 2007; Kock, 2009; Naess, 2005; O'Keefe, 1999; Pinto, 2009; Pollock, 2002; Pollock, 2008; Pollock, 2010; Ross, 1930; Scriven, 1981; Snoek-Henkemans, 2001; Spangler, 1987; Tyaglo, 2002; Wellman, 1971; Wellman, 1988; Wohlrapp, 1997; Wohlrapp, 1998; Wohlrapp, 2008; Zenker, 2008; Zenker, 2009)